



Delivering Quality Services to  
Coxhoe & Quarrington Hill

## Coxhoe Parish Council

<b>Meeting</b>	Monthly
<b>Date</b>	Wednesday 23 <sup>rd</sup> February 2011
<b>Time</b>	6.45 pm
<b>Venue</b>	Landsdowne House, Coxhoe

### **Minutes of the proceedings of the monthly Coxhoe Parish Council meeting held at 6.45 pm in Landsdowne House, Lansdowne Road, Coxhoe, on Wednesday 23<sup>rd</sup> February 2011.**

**Present:** Councillors Mrs. B. Hepplewhite, K. Pounder, D. Smith, J. Taylor; and S. Dunn, A. Rowe, and R. Mayo (latter three for part of meeting).

**Clerk:** Mrs. M. Forster

**Also Present:** I. Forster (Assistant Clerk); County Councillors D. Morgan and Mrs. M. Plews (both for part of meeting); Durham County Council Planning Officers Carole Dillon and Josephine Ellis; and Lesley Millgate of Durham Rural Community Council.

**11. 22 Apologies:** Apologies for absence were received from Councillors P. Dodsworth, G. Shotton, C. Thirlaway, and Mrs. C. Wigham. Councillors Dunn and Mayo and County Councillor D. Morgan had advised that due to work commitments they would be late. In the absence of the Chair and Vice-Chair, Councillor Pounder was elected to Chair the meeting.

Councillor Pounder (in the Chair)

**11.23 Members' Declarations of Interest:** had been declared by Councillor S. Dunn as a school governor in a planning application for Coxhoe Primary School; Councillor K. Pounder in EDRC AAP items; and Councillor D. Smith in Sanderson Street.

**11.24 Questions from the Public:** Mr. K. Appleby, the Chair of Coxhoe United Football Club, was present at the meeting and advised that he had read a newspaper article advising of the potential closure of Coxhoe Leisure Centre. He had written to County Councillor Mrs. Plews asking her to attend the Club's Committee meeting on 1<sup>st</sup> March. He stated that the Club held its three biggest fund raising events annually at the Leisure Centre and that they would offer any support required to assist in retaining the facility. 400 people had attended the outdoor event; 150 children from inside and outside Coxhoe were involved in the trophy presentations; and the Centre was key to the Club's existence. Outside training took place from the end of March and some training took place during the winter months. The Chair advised that the matter was to be discussed further later in the meeting and the Parish Council had very strong views that everything possible needed to be done to ensure that the Leisure Centre remained open. He thanked Mr. Appleby for his attendance as the Council was not aware of all the events that occurred at the Leisure Centre, although they knew about children's parties and Youth Room events. A Member advised that the Chair of Coxhoe Community Partnership had spoken to the County Council's Sports and Leisure Manager and staff at the Leisure Centre. The press had "jumped the gun". There was a need to remind the County Council of their aims set out in the Sport and Leisure Strategy and to put a case forward for the retention of the Leisure Centre. Events in the Centre had not been advertised well. A petition had been started and a public meeting could be held to look at plans for the Centre's survival. The AAP were not happy as they had invested money for cycle hire.

**Resolved** That the information be noted and further discussion take place following the arrival of the Vice-Chair and the County Councillors.

**11.25 Police Reports:** The PCSO attended for this item only. The Police report had been circulated for 21<sup>st</sup> January to 17<sup>th</sup> February 2011. M. Ogilvie had submitted apologies for absence but asked that Members note that following a number of reports concerning youths in the area using air weapons

possibly shooting at wild birds, three youths had been arrested and three air rifles seized. One youth had been cautioned and two were to receive juvenile reprimands for firearms offences. ASBO evidence was being gathered in respect of one youth from Coxhoe whose anti-social behaviour had escalated. Three dispute incidents at Quarrington Hill had resulted in a joint visit held with the County Council Tenancy Enforcement Team. County Councillor Mrs. M. Plews entered the meeting.

**Resolved** That the Police Report be noted and displayed on the village notice boards.

**11.26 Minutes of Monthly Meeting** held 26<sup>th</sup> January 2011 had been circulated.

**Resolved** That the minutes of the last monthly meeting held on 26<sup>th</sup> January 2011 be approved and signed as a true record.

**11.27 Matters of information: (1)** There had still not been a response from the Clean and Green Manager on the query with regard to whether the County Council's routine litter pick schedule still included picking **litter in St. Mary's Churchyard**. **(2)** Councillors Dunn and Pounder were to discuss the differing information on the land ownership of the **rough land adjacent to the allotments at Quarrington Hill** with a view to the Parish Council procuring this to provide additional allotment sites. Although there had been a question as to whether the County Council owned the land, it may be the case that this was now being rented from them for use by a Quarrington Hill resident as allotment land. A rumour had been advised that Durham County Council was looking to sell allotment land. County Councillor Mrs. Plews was checking this and whether it affected allotments at Coxhoe or Quarrington Hill. She advised that Councillors had only found out about this earlier this week and it had been stopped whilst Members looked at the situation and that there was opposition from County Councillors. **(3)** Councillor Dunn entered the meeting. He was to have drafted a letter on the **Asset Transfers** but this had been superseded as the Clerk was trying to arrange a meeting involving the link officer that County Councillor Morgan had provided details for, County Councillors, the Vice-Chair, Clerk and Assistant Parish Clerk. Attempts for week commencing 14<sup>th</sup> March had not been successful so she would try for the following week. **(4)** The replacement **seat at The Grove** was yet to be ordered. **(5)** Arrangements had been made for Louise Johnson, the **East Durham Leader** Manager, to submit a presentation to the March Parish Council meeting. **(6)** Appropriate responses on **Planning Applications** had been sent to the County Council as agreed at the last meeting. **(7)** The agreed response had been sent to the **County Council** on the eight documents they were **consulting** on. **(8)** The Clerk had sent nominations for the **Royal Garden Party** and would advise once she heard whether they had been successful. **(9)** The two free places on the **Workshop for 22<sup>nd</sup> March** had been booked. **(10)** The Village Hall Association had been provided with details of the **Great Poppy Party Weekend** as requested. **(11)** The letter about **Coxhoe Allotments** had been sent to the Association Secretary who had advised that the plots were under the ownership of five different members of the same family, not one person as the **anonymous letter** had suggested. **(12)** All letters and cheques for **financial donations** agreed had been prepared for signature. **Resolved** That the information be noted, the outstanding resolutions be actioned and progress be requested on those issues raised with other parties.

As Councillor Dunn, the Vice-Chair of the Council, had entered the meeting he took the Chair for the remaining items.

Councillor Dunn (in the Chair)

**11.28 Parish Plan:** Councillors A. Rowe and R. Mayo entered the meeting. Carole Dillon and Josephine Ellis of Durham County Council planning department updated Members on the Settlement Study; Settlement Facilities questionnaire; Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment and findings for Coxhoe Parish area; Housing Distribution update; next steps for preparing the County Durham Plan (Core Strategy and Site allocations) and DCC officer input into Parish Plan preparation. They advised they would pass on a Member's comments in connection with planning gain not being available for an application at Quarrington Hill. They circulated an updated plan of the housing sites classed as red, amber and green under the SHLAA in the Parish and advised that the Parish Council's views largely accorded with those of the appropriate Partnership and County Council. They advised that colleagues were working on an infrastructure delivery plan. This should assist with the Parish Council's views that significant development should not proceed at Coxhoe without improvement to the infrastructure and they had taken these comments seriously. It was clarified that significant development could be classed as over 20 houses. Members asked the Officers to assist in the preparation of Parish Plan 2

questionnaires by drafting questions over the next couple of weeks on for example what kind of housing the community would like to see in the Parish. It was important that the questions matched the needs of the Planning Department and the community's wishes. County Councillor Morgan entered the meeting. Ms. Dillon advised that they would formulate some appropriate questions for incorporation in the questionnaires and would support the PP2 process as much as possible. They had a challenging workload but would provide a steer and guide and were willing to invest time in this as resources allowed.

The Assistant Parish Clerk, as Chair of the PP2SG, advised that the first public launch consultation event had taken place at Quarrington Hill on 19<sup>th</sup> February. There had been good debate and a clear set of issues and priorities had been developed for the next stage of the preparation of public questionnaires. A review document, including work by the DRCC as facilitators, had been circulated to the Steering Group for comment. Lesley Millgate of DRCC stated that the methodology had worked well and three of the four tables at the event had raised very similar issues, showing that the views were widely held. Members thanked the organisers and facilitators for the event. Lesley Millgate left the meeting.

**Resolved** That the information be noted.

### **11.29 Urgent Business – Durham County Council consultation on potential closures of Leisure**

**Centres:** The Chair moved that this matter be discussed at this juncture of the meeting in view of its urgency and importance and all Members agreed. Members discussed a press article advising that Durham County Council would be consulting on the potential closure of six Leisure Centres (mainly in the former City of Durham Council area), one of which was Coxhoe. County Councillors clarified that Officers were suggesting where closures could take place. Members again discussed in great detail various facilities and events that were centred in and around the Centre and the importance of the Coxhoe and District Leisure Centre to the community. In addition to the facilities that would be lost, it was considered that anti-social behaviour could escalate by removal of things for youths to do and the potential loss of the CCTV in the area. It was imperative that all parishioners and Centre users contacted the County Council to provide robust arguments as to why the Centre needed to be retained. These included health and social reasons. The nearest Centres would be Spennymoor and Durham City but travel costs and times would deter many. The Centres under threat were not considered to be financially viable by the County Council Directorate of Neighbourhood Services who favoured the retention of Freeman Quays. This would mean, however, that there would be additional travel and parking costs and Members stated that the dry facilities did not measure up to those available at Coxhoe Leisure Centre. There were also implications for the Youth Room, Limestone Linx, GP referrals, the proposed enhancements to CCTV in Coxhoe Front Street, and management of the outdoor facilities. The school in Coxhoe had been talking about additional use of the Leisure Centre to meet sport requirements on the curriculum and other nearby schools would probably do the same.

The consultation process would be County wide as all facilities would be affected to some degree but some were managed separately. It appeared that Durham County Council were not adverse to alternative methods of management. It was stated that user groups needed to get together to present a united front and develop a co-ordinated response via one main user group as the principal vehicle. Every user and potential user needed to respond to the consultation which would be available on Durham County Council's website. Mr. Appleby of Coxhoe United reiterated the importance of the Centre to the Club, including toilet facilities and changing rooms for matches, training and fund raising events. He advised that there was a lost opportunity by the County Council not allowing prior booking of the fields which would have increased usage and income substantially and saved Coxhoe United in travel costs. Members added that the marketing of the Centre had been ineffective and there could be much more done including school holiday activities. Many birthday parties were held there and marketing would have increased this use further. **Councillor Pounder declared an interest** as a GP referral for health reasons to the Leisure Centre and advised that travelling times to other Centres such as Freemans Quay from Quarrington Hill would be very onerous and would add to the carbon footprint, against the County Council's aim to reduce travel. Petitions were required and meetings involving the County and Parish Councillors, Member of Parliament, the press, and as many users as possible. There was a need to ascertain what the community wanted and decide on a way forward. This needed to be done separately from the Parish Plan 2 launch event. Mr. Appleby advised that Coxhoe United had a Committee meeting scheduled for 1<sup>st</sup> March and issued an invitation for Parish and County Councillors and other user groups to attend as an initial meeting. County Councillor Morgan advised that it was a good idea for the football club to take this forward and invite other

sporting clubs as they knew what was used and required with regard to facilities. It would be helpful for Coxhoe Community Partnership to also be present. Mr. Appleby added that he would also arrange a facebook site on Save Our Sportscentre and then left the meeting. C. Dillon and J. Ellis left the meeting.

**Resolved** That the information be noted; the consultation document be awaited; and as many Councillors as possible attend the meeting arranged by Coxhoe United.

- 11.30 Speed Visors:** A site meeting had been held 10<sup>th</sup> February at Quarrington Hill to discuss speed visors. It had been attended by Councillors Dunn and Pounder, the Parish Clerk, Gerry Jones from Durham County Council, and Maxine Stubbs from the Police. The explanation for the Steetley Terrace visor not being able to be turned to face southbound traffic was that the required distance to monitor was 120m and could not be met due to the bend in the road. This had been accepted and the rota that had previously been circulated had been agreed, with visors being moved four times per year. The Parish Council had again raised the information required from the Quarrington Hill visor prior to its first movement and had been advised that human and financial reasons at Durham County Council could not presently allow for this to be provided. The amount of information required specialist software and a large capacity computer. Varitext, the company that had provided the visors, had said that they would interpret the information for the Parish Council on this occasion but the Clerk had advised the County Council that a long term solution should be sought.

Whilst the participants were in Quarrington Hill, Councillor Pounder had requested that they look at the speed humps at Quarrington Heights. Gerry Jones was to provide an estimate to have the footpath widened at the two furthest speed humps to enable bollards or small barriers to be introduced to prevent vehicles mounting the footpaths whilst trying to avoid the humps.

**Resolved** That the information be noted and this matter be discussed further at the next meeting of the Parish Council.

- 11.31 CCTV in Coxhoe:** County Councillor Morgan updated that following the meeting in January in connection with the potential procurement of improved CCTV in Coxhoe and having the park monitored centrally at Durham, ownership and liability of the two potential cameras for the Front Street were being looked at. Resources in terms of initial capital costs and the first year's operating costs should be available and it had been ascertained that things could work with a link from the Front Street via Coxhoe Leisure Centre to the County Council computer network. Under the present structure, the County Council Regeneration and Economic Directorate were the custodians of CCTV and County Councillor Morgan was to meet with the appropriate Director in this regard.

**Resolved** That the information be noted and further progress be awaited.

- 11.32 Update from Environment Committee:** The Committee had met 3<sup>rd</sup> February and outlined to Groundwork the schemes the Parish Council wanted them to look at, i.e. one for plans for the Front Street, The Green, Miners' Memorial and Workingmen's Club areas; and one for proposed improvements to St. Mary's Churchyard and a potential Garden of Remembrance in Coxhoe. Groundwork had been asked to provide a menu of various stages of work they could carry out e.g. design, project management, help with funding applications, etc. and at what cost, so that the Council could look at this and put forward suitable proposals for consultation under Parish Plan 2. Councillor Pounder had outlined areas of planting that Quarrington Hill Councillors would like to have considered.

**Resolved** That the information be noted and further progress be awaited.

- 11.33 Coxhoe Community Partnership:** Responses on the possibility of hearing aid induction loop, assistance with broadband, and the possibility and costs of the Council insuring the History Group's equipment were awaited. The alarm providers of Landsdowne House had not yet been contacted nor assurance sought that the Parish Council could continue to use the offices to progress the installation of broadband. The agenda for 2<sup>nd</sup> February had been circulated and the Partnership had advised that the Village Hall doors would cost £7708. County Durham Foundation were providing funding of £4975.25 and the AAP had provided £2000 so a cheque for £732.75 would be provided from the Parish Council which included a contribution of £500 previously received from County Councillors Morgan and Mrs. Plews and £232.75 from Coxhoe Parish Council.

**Resolved** (i) That the information be noted; (ii) That further information from the County Council in connection with the possibility of the provision of broadband and a hearing aid induction loop at Landsdowne House be awaited; (iii) That the response of Zurich be awaited in connection with the possibility and cost of the History Group's equipment being added to the Council's insurance policy; (iv) That the alarm providers at Landsdowne House be contacted to ascertain whether the alarm line could be used and if it couldn't that the Clerk look at having a phone line and broadband installed if

assurance was provided from the County Council that the Parish Council could continue to use the offices at Landsdowne House; (v) That a payment of £732.75 covering County Councillors contribution of £500 and Parish Council contribution of £232.75 be agreed towards the costs of the Village Hall doors.

**11.34 Quarrington Hill Village Partnership:** No agendas or minutes had been received.

**Resolved** That the information be noted.

**11.35 Crowtrees Heritage Group:** Agenda for 24<sup>th</sup> February and minutes of 27<sup>th</sup> January had been circulated. Councillor Pounder was to make the Group aware of Hellens' plans for further development.

**Resolved** That the information be noted.

**11.36 Coxhoe Quarry Liaison Committee Meeting:** Minutes of 19<sup>th</sup> January and notification of meeting for 16<sup>th</sup> June had been circulated.

**Resolved** That the information be noted.

**11.37 Planning Applications:** Details had been circulated of planning applications that had been determined under delegated authority and those consulted on by Durham County Council from 25<sup>th</sup> January to 21<sup>st</sup> February. **Councillor S. Dunn declared an interest** in application 928/4/144(4) for Proposed Extension to Building to Provide Link Corridor and Part Remodelling of School Layout at Coxhoe Primary School, Cornforth Lane, Coxhoe, as he was a School Governor. He outlined the proposals and then took no part in the discussion or decision on the matter, which Members advised they supported. Members also considered that application 032/FPA which had previously been supported prior to its revision and resubmission should be supported again for the previous reasons.

**Resolved** (i) That the information on delegated authority decisions be noted; (ii) That the Parish Council advise the County Council that it supports applications 928/4/144(4) for Proposed Extension to Building to Provide Link Corridor and Part Remodelling of School Layout at Coxhoe Primary School, Cornforth Lane, Coxhoe; and 032/FPA for Erection of Replacement Detached Bungalow and Detached Outbuilding Comprising Garage, Barns and Tack Room to Rear (Revised and Resubmitted) at Bogma Cottage, Bogma Avenue, Coxhoe.

**11.38 Correspondence for consideration / decision:**

**11.38.1 Durham County Council:**

**a) County Councillors – Request for Salt Bin for The Archway footpath link:** Members had agreed to defer this matter from the last meeting in view of the number of apologies for absence and as the County Councillors had not been present. The Chair of Coxhoe Community Partnership had been approached by a resident who wanted a salt box to be provided to help pedestrians using a footpath link known as The Archway between Green Crescent and The Grove to the bottom of The Avenue. He had passed the request to County Councillors and the correspondence had been circulated, together with details of the policy decision made by the Parish Council in February 2010 and the reasoning behind this. The Chair had agreed that the matter could be discussed again in view of the Vice-Chair's request that the Parish Council consider the matter of salt boxes again. The Vice-Chair stated that he had asked for this issue to be revisited as the County Council's consultation on priorities had shown the provision of salting and gritting to be high. Paving had lifted in the Front Street of Coxhoe due to frost and he considered that this may not have been the case if the area had been gritted. He considered that this matter should be raised as part of the consultation with the public on Parish Plan 2. County Councillor Morgan outlined that County Councillors could purchase a salt box and provide the first fill but then the Parish Council would be responsible for liability, maintenance, replenishing, etc. There were no resources to do this. The costs were £250 from the County Councillor per bin and then an average cost for refill and maintenance of £100 per bin plus insurance liability costs. Once the bins were provided by the County Council, the Parish Council could make its own arrangements and contract with whomever to ensure they were filled. Councillors who raised issues on the possibility of relocation of specific boxes were asked to raise these with the County Council.

**Resolved** That the matter of provision and maintenance of salt bins be raised as part of the public consultation on Parish Plan 2 in order to ascertain the public's views on this as a priority against other matters of importance.

**b)** Durham County Council was consulting on **Review of Crèche Facilities in Council run Leisure Centres** with a deadline response date of 25<sup>th</sup> February.

**Resolved** That the Clerk advise Durham County Council that Coxhoe Parish Council is against the closure of crèche facilities in Council run Leisure Centres.

**c)** EDRC AAP had advised of a **Review of Mental Health Services for Older People in South Durham and Darlington.**

**Resolved** That no response be required.

**d)** Durham County Council had notified the Parish Council of **changes in Neighbourhood Wardens** due to funding finishing on Future Jobs Fund People. This would result in warden areas being extended. Parish Councillors had expressed concern at this and that Sharon Moore would no longer be the Warden for the area. She had provided an excellent service during her time as a Neighbourhood Warden and the changes could be detrimental.

**Resolved** That Councillors Pounder and Smith advise the County Council of their support for Ms. Moore and the concerns that the service would suffer as a result of the changes.

**e)** The **Limestone Landscapes** Newsletter had been circulated, together with an offer of a **presentation** by the Programme Manager once in post.

**Resolved** That the Limestone Landscapes Programme Manager be asked to submit a presentation to the Parish Council meeting to be held in June 2011.

**f)** Details had been circulated of Durham County Council's **Litter Free Durham's Big Spring Clean.** The Youth Room at Coxhoe were to carry out a litter pick and a Member had advised that the Parish Council had provided a financial contribution to the Youth Room when this had occurred previously. Members agreed that this was a worthwhile cause and monies could be paid under Section 137 expenditure. An amount of £200 was agreed. A proportionate amount of £100 could be agreed towards Quarrington Hill Youth Club if they wished to do similar when approached by Councillor Pounder.

**Resolved** (i) That a donation of £200 be made under Section 137 expenditure to Coxhoe Youth Room; (ii) That Councillor Pounder approach Quarrington Hill Youth Club to ascertain their interest in carrying out a litter pick and advise accordingly in order that a donation of £100 under Section 137 expenditure may be added to a future agenda for Council agreement.

#### 11.38.2 **Other Correspondence:**

**g)** Consultants acting for Durham County Council had sent a **consultation on County Durham Playing Pitch and Outdoor Sports Study.** The issue of the County Council paying consultants (at a time of cost reductions) to ask Parish Councils who were already under-resourced to do additional work to provide them with information had been raised with the County Council. Some Parish Councillors had also expressed the view that the Parish Council did not have the resources to allow the time required to undertake this work, particularly with the huge workload and only one part time Clerk. A response had been received from the County Council's Head of Leisure Services that the Strategy was required by Sport England and funding counted on the work being undertaken but County Council Officers did not have time to carry out the work due to the current restructure. He had stated that consultees could cause problems if they did not respond in the timescale provided. It was also considered that this may be an opportunity to meet the community's aspirations of unmet need. The Voluntary Assistant Parish Clerk had therefore circulated a draft response to the consultation questionnaire based on his own knowledge as there had been very little response to the request for information. Members asked that comments be added that there was AstroTurf

which was in poor condition, there was a bowling green, and a cricket pitch ran by Coxhoe Cricket Club. Frustration was again expressed at the huge workload imposed on the part time Parish Clerk. Members stated that they must ensure that Parish Councillors tried to share the burden and respond to requests for information. County Councillor Mrs. Plews left the meeting. **Resolved** That the additional information provided at the meeting be added to the response prior to it being sent to the consultants.

**11.39 Correspondence for Information:** Items circulated for information during the month included EDRC Area Action Partnership Review of County Durham Children’s Trust Consultation; EDRC AAP E-Bulletin February 2011; Neighbourhood Warden Monthly Report January; County Durham Settlement Study Questionnaire; Have You Considered Going Solar Leaflet (A Member had asked the County Council what could be done to assist Coxhoe Village Hall as this only related to private homes and they had provided him with various websites to carry out research); County Durham Partnership Newsletter February; CDALC Durham Association Newsletter 33 February; and CDALC Update on 2011 Census; Section 106 Agreements and Community Infrastructure Levy; SLCC Branch Meetings and Presidents Visit.

**Resolved** That the information be noted.

**11.40 Finance:**

11.40.1 **Budget Update:** A financial account summary had been circulated that showed the balance at the end of the last meeting and income and expenditure during the month and the balance at the end of the month. A budget summary had also been circulated. This showed spend against budgets to date. There were no significant changes to variances which had been explained previously. The Council was on line to achieve the previously forecast figure of £20,000 to take forward as a prudent reserve to 2011/12. The budget for 2011/12 would be amended to take account that the Parochial Church Council had advised that they would be making their own arrangements for grass cutting at Quarrington Hill Churchyard, rather than using the Parish Council’s contractor. An invoice had been sent for £800 contribution from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2010 to February 2011 for work undertaken to date. A Member stated that they hoped that the quality of provision would not suffer by the PCC’s decision. Members referred to a document circulated by the Clerk which showed how very small Coxhoe Parish Council’s precept was compared to those of similar sized authorities in County Durham. They stated that the past obsession with keeping the rates down was causing problems in the current climate when public buildings may need assistance. The Parish Council could not take on additional responsibilities and liabilities without appropriate human and financial resources and precepting accordingly.

**Resolved** That the information be noted and the appropriate adjustment relating to grasscutting at Quarrington Hill Churchyard be made to the budget agreed for 2011/12.

11.40.2 **Accounts for payment:** Details of financial payments required for the month had been circulated, together with the month end bank balances figure after the expenditure and income received during the month. Councillor Smith left the meeting.

**Resolved** That all payments presented be signed and paid:

Creditor	Reason	£
Total Business Group	Landsdowne House Copier copies Jan-Feb	59.90
Total Business Group	Paper and Stationery	31.06
Blachere Illumination UK Ltd	Removal (£717.60) and Storage (£62.40) of Christmas lights	780.00
Coxhoe Village Hall Association	Grant under Section 137 expenditure	1000.00
Great North Air Ambulance	Section 137 Donation	50.00
Butterwick House Children’s Hospice	Section 137 Donation	50.00
3 Mobile	Broadband & Mobile January	20.92

<b>Creditor</b>	<b>Reason</b>	<b>£</b>
M. Forster	Clerk Salary February and reimbursement postage	

**11.41 Date of Next Meeting:** Wednesday 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2011 at 6.45 p.m. in Landsdowne House.

**11.42 Exempt Information – Resolution to Exclude the Press and Public:**

**Resolved** That in view of the confidential nature of the following items, the press and public be excluded for the remainder of the meeting, pursuant to the Public Bodies (Admissions to Meetings) Act, 1960.

**11.43 Co-op and Village Hall Car Parks:**

**11.44 Sanderson Street: Councillor D. Smith’s declaration of interest** in this item was noted as he had already left the meeting.

The Chair stated that the Parish Council was delighted to see Councillor Taylor back after his illness. The meeting closed at 9.35 p.m.

***Signed on 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2011 as a true record of the meeting:***

**Cllr. P. Dodsworth (Chairman)**

**Cllr. S. Dunn (Vice Chairman)**